

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

Please don't disclose decision.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Caddo Magnet ST	POINTS	RANKS
1	ZhenZhen Shi	27	3 4
2	Taylor Templeton	27	3 3

NEGATIVE

POS	St. Mark's MD	POINTS	RANKS
2	Alex Miles	29.5	1
1	Rishi Das	27	2

The better debating was done by the St. Mark's from Neg
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended. _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

IN should know what the CTBT is.
2AC - 7 min prep 2AC -ouch! Try to use all speech time
(2 min left). Please read full dates on politics
uniqueness.

RFD - while aff makes a good attempt, neg wins
CP & DA solidly.

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

Please don't disclose decision.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Marquette University HM	POINTS	RANKS
1	Michael Hoffmann	28.5	1
2	Jack Mackay	28	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Greenhill PP	POINTS	RANKS
2	Kush Patel	27.5	4
1	Chris Patterson	28	3

The better debating was done by the Aff from Marquette
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

This debate was tough to decide because both sides end up ~~not explaining their evidence~~ not explaining their evidence! doing a poor job packaging the debate. I vote Aff mostly on presumption - I think the neg wins that the CP solves the case but basically no net benefit.

CP

Theory argument needs to be articulated differently - I think if they advocated something with no ^{Aff} advocate it would be an issue but their ev is awesome. You need ~~with~~ answers to this CP because I think they solve your Aff

DA

You need way better (L) @ uniqueness because they basically take out your DA. Also, you need an external impact to the economy.

Room: LS 101
Start: 1:00 p.m.
Pod A

3

Carswell, M.

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

140
Please don't disclose decision.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	College Prep PY	POINTS	RANKS
1	Carlos Penikis	28	4
2	Tatsuro Yamamura	28	3

NEGATIVE

POS	oak park - river fore my	POINTS	RANKS
	maranna	28.5	1
	yoder	28.5	2

240
440
318

The better debating was done by the Neg from Oak Park My
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Neg - Make more arguments in CX, don't ask for warrants, argue warrants.

Aff - need to expand on actual counter-interp in IAR. Put self in dangerous posn. Probably should do more analysis on why limits comes 1st

RFD: Not topical. Education / Ground o/w limits

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

Please don't disclose decision.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Coppell KK	POINTS	RANKS
2	Sonali Kalvala	22.5	4
1	Priyanka Krishnamurthy	28.5	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Westminster Schools TA	POINTS	RANKS
1	Daniel Taylor	28	3
2	Ellis Allen	29.5	1

The better debating was done by the Neg from Westminster
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: 3825000

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: I think the Aff doesn't do a good enough job on the theory, couching arguments they are shed on. Aff should be using dispo ⑤ offense more and talk about how they justify a million world, b/c they have no C/I. Also talk about how you wouldn't perm the CP.

Neg: Good creative args.

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

Please don't disclose decision.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Carrollton School of SP	POINTS	RANKS
2	Nas Schmidt	28.5	1
1	Alyssa Padilla	27.5	4

NEGATIVE

POS	New Trier Township KL	POINTS	RANKS
2	Teddy Kontopoulos	28	2
1	Randy Rossman	28	3

The better debating was done by the Neg from New Trier KL
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff / Neg
2:20 / 4:45
1:25 / 4:45

This debate was very close because the ZAR was much better than the ZNR but I had trouble understanding the IAR and most of the ZAR was new.

I vote neg on the tradeoff arg. The only IAR arguments here are "case olw" (no warrants "their ev is from 67" (unclear why this matters) and "oil prices have changed" (unclear why this takes out the ~~the~~ link, which is about allocating funding to new programs. The neg is the only one

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

Please don't disclose decision.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Glenbrook North HP	POINTS	RANKS
1	Mitchel Hochberg	28.5	2
2	Zack Parker	28	1

NEGATIVE

POS	St. Mark's LT	POINTS	RANKS
1	Joe Loftus	27.5	4
2	Connor Theilmann	28	3

The better debating was done by the APP from GBN
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Allen [signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

~~late negs~~ negs or should be pressing aff not giving time for ours on each advantage

negs INC was the same as other St. Marks team against RPS
- look into case negs, states isn't the best
RFD: CP is abusive / can't (S)

Case OW, & (S) DA!s

2NR needs better extension
or DA - saying "we read a ton
of cards" 6 times isn't a substitute
for real analysis.

both DAs shouldn't be extend
either go for L66 or States
and elections.

neg needs more work on case

Room: LS 130
Start: 1:00 p.m.
Pod C

3

Jennings, Andrew

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

Please don't disclose decision.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Pine Crest Preparator CM	POINTS	RANKS
1	Tabitha Cohen	27.5	4
2	Matthew Marcus	27.5	3

NEGATIVE

POS	Kinkaid bb	POINTS	RANKS
1	nikhil bontha	27.5	2
2	robert baldwin	28	1

The better debating was done by the

Neg
AFF or NEG

from

Kinkaid BB
TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

Please don't disclose decision.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Chattahoochee IV	POINTS	RANKS
2	Mustafa Inamullah	28.5	1
1	Sam Varney	27.5	4

NEGATIVE

POS	St. Mark's WB	POINTS	RANKS
2	Jacob Wikman	27.5	2
1	Rishee Batra	27.5	3

The better debating was done by the

AFF
AFF or NEG

from

HOOGH TV
TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD:

THE AFF IS WINNING LINK TURNS ON
ELECTIONS AND QATAR PLUS A WATER WARS ADD -ON.
IN THE END I END UP VOTING AFF ON
THOSE THREE THINGS O/W IN CP WHICH 5 FOR
CASE BUT DOES NOT ACCESS THE DA LINK
TURNS OR THE RPS WATER ADD ON = AN
AFF BALLOT.

ZAR: DO NOT GIVE
INTERVIEWS, SO POINTLESS

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

Please don't disclose decision.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Little Rock Central GP	POINTS	RANKS
2	Lindsey Garland	27	4
1	Sarah Parker	27	3

NEGATIVE

POS	Glenbrook South CH	POINTS	RANKS
1	Jonathan Choi	28	2
2	Phillip Han	28	1

The better debating was done by the _____ from _____
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed:

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- our confidant
- if I think it's good.
[c / ks / fags]
de [unclear]
BLOCK study?
Dry Study
A / [unclear] N 9:06
45

THE CONCESSION OF THE FEDERALIST NET BENEFIT THE WHOLE ROUND IS PROBLEMATIC - IT MEANS THE AFE WOULD HAVE TO GIVE A MASSIVE SUBSIDY DEFECT A OUTWASH. I THINK MYRE FEAT ⑤ / ~~BEING FROM~~ FEDERAL MODELING SOLVES THE CASE. NOT AN ASSE OF NET BENEFIT.

ambantel@gmail.com for 7's

Start: 1:00 PM
Ref: D

Blumenthal, J.

AFF

NEG

pos	Kindred SW	Pts	Rnk
1	Schulischer	27 27.5	3
2	Merrisa Wilson	27.5	4

pos	GBS	AN	Pts	Rnk
2	Nabeda	Ahmed	28	1
1	Katany	Nabeka	25	2

The better debating done by AFF
from Kindred

Law Pts won: ✓

Signed: [Signature]

RFD

conceded AE ~~⊗~~ (U) → 0 risk
da

Room: LS 156
Start: 1:00 p.m.
Pod D

3

Becker, L.

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

Please don't disclose decision.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Kinkaid sw	POINTS	RANKS
1	schuiler scher	28	3
2	merrick wilson	28	4

NEGATIVE

POS	Glenbrook South AN	POINTS	RANKS
2	Nabeela Ahmed	28.5	1
1	Kathy Nalepka	28.5	2

The better debating was done by the NEG from GBS AN
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Jeff

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

CFB. ~~DA~~ has only defense on DA, performative
contradiction not relevant { answered.

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

Please don't disclose decision.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Chattahoochee SD	POINTS	RANKS
1	Shreya Seth	28	4
2	Maggie Davis	28.5	2

NEGATIVE

POS	oak park - river fore hh	POINTS	RANKS
2	james hanley	28.5	1
1	will hardwicke	28	3

The better debating was done by the Neg from oak park
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: John

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

While the 2AR was very persuasive - it didn't match up w/ arguments made in 1AR - rather than read blocks in 2AR you should contextualize 1AR vs 2AR.

- Aff concedes the Alt will (S) case ∴
No reason to risk (L) by voting for the (P). (Could also probably vote on severance for which is drastically undercovered by Aff).

Not enuf explanation of F-work in 1AR to vote here - Aff also concedes neg T's back I/L on the question of education

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

Please don't disclose decision.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Bronx Science LL	POINTS	RANKS
2	Max Lesser	28.5	2
1	Thomas Lloyd	28	4

NEGATIVE

POS	St. Mark's GH	POINTS	RANKS
2	Max Grunewald	28.5	1
1	Kevin Hale	28	3

The better debating was done by the NEG from St. Mark's
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Grant Peretz

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: Aff @ the case, risk that the perm's and the plan's inclusion of government action makes individuals complacent while doesn't @ the tragedy of the commons. Case can't outweigh because the Schwartz evidence begs the question of whether the aff @ warning.

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

Please don't disclose decision.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	College Prep PY	POINTS	RANKS
1	Carlos Penikis	28.5	4
2	Tatsuro Yamamura	28.5	3

NEGATIVE

POS	oak park - river fore my	POINTS	RANKS
1	maranna	28.5	2
2	yoder	28.5	1

The better debating was done by the Neg from Oak Park
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

A lot of 2AR answers were new - 1AR lacks any substantial offense on ① - Aff never points out Neg & have Real ① ev till 2AR - But I don't think it can be evaluated there b/c she might & have even extended in the 1AR if it was in the 2AR. Thus Neg's interp is of the word "incentive" & ~~removable~~ Alt. Energy which aff constantly def. Defenses of Solar being good seem new in 2AR & in 1AR and & clash w/ neg interp
Neg wins ground Qw All - and risk of potential abuse/
jurisdiction

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

Please don't disclose decision.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Chattahoochee IV	POINTS	RANKS
2	Mustafa Inamullah	28	02
1	Sam Varney	20.5	03

NEGATIVE

POS	St. Mark's WB	POINTS	RANKS
2	Jacob Wikman	28	1
1	Rishee Batra	26.5	4

The better debating was done by the Neg from St Marks WB
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Mhbr

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff abandoned too much in flow. Way too much time spent on T - an obvious time suck. The breaking point was when CP went mostly unanswered in rebuttals, specifically IAR.

Room: LS 120
Start: 1:00 p.m.
Pod C

3

Randall, Dana

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

Please don't disclose decision.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Chattahoochee SD	POINTS	RANKS
1	Shreya Seth	28	3
2	Maggie Davis	28	2

NEGATIVE

POS	oak park - river fore hh	POINTS	RANKS
2	james hanley	28.5	1
1	will hardwicke	28	4

A	N
7:42 3:42	9:09

The better debating was done by the AFF from Hooch
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: D. Randall

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

if tech inavailable and perm (#2 in 2AC) do
plan and reject tech thought (or reject plan as clarified in 1AR/2AR)
then seems like access McWhorter more than Alt
or RFD though perm 2 bind arg or answered 2NR/2NR
or accurate description of perm 2NR response or perm = intrinsic but
or arg made in neg
speeches

Room: LS 130
Start: 1:00 p.m.
Pod C

3

Wolf, J.

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

A W
7:30 8:00
7:45

Please don't disclose decision.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Pine Crest Preparator CM	POINTS	RANKS
1	Tabitha Cohen	27.5	3
2	Matthew Marcus	27.5	4

NEGATIVE

POS	Kinkaid bb	POINTS	RANKS
1	nikhil bontha	27.5	2
2	robert baldwin	28	1

The better debating was done by the NEG from Kinkaid
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

JDC: don't read take outs to your DA impact

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

Please don't disclose decision.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Little Rock Central GP	POINTS	RANKS
2	Lindsey Garland	26	3
1	Sarah Parker	27	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Glenbrook South CH	POINTS	RANKS
1	Jonathan Choi	26	3
2	Phillip Han	27	1

The better debating was done by the Neg from 232
AFF OF NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Glenda Ferguson

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

1 NC - Start slow, then speed up. Open up your mouth and articulate. 2AC Really hard to follow you. Jonathan, you need an attitude check - immediately. You are a smart debater, but your demeanor leaves something to be desired. 2AC - You spent unnecessary time in conditionality which caused you to drop species, etc. 2AC - Calm down! You are as bad as Jonathan. Phillip - I can't understand you either. off words Fed, species, misinterpret the states of the c/p.

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

A
4cs

Please don't disclose decision.

6:28

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Glenbrook North HP	POINTS	RANKS
1	Mitchel Hochberg	30	2
2	Zack Parker	30	1

NEGATIVE

POS	St. Mark's LT	POINTS	RANKS
1	Joe Loftus	28	4
2	Connor Theilmann	29	3

The better debating was done by the

Aff

from

Glenbrook North

AFF or NEG

TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed:

Christi Halbert

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Really work on signposting - especially if you want to jump around on flows. Work on giving comparative analysis on the line by line, as well as an impact calc on each flow. This round should have become more clear & concise at the end - strategically pick arguments! you don't have to go for everything

Ref: Aff ~~link turns the~~ link turns the elections disad, which gives them access to the Russia extinction scenario. In addition, their case impacts outweigh the impacts of the disads. They also win that the CP has a massive solvency deficit, which means the best policy option is the Aff.

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

Please don't disclose decision.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Carrollton School of SP	POINTS	RANKS
2	Nas Schmidt	27.5	1
1	Alyssa Padilla	27	3

NEGATIVE

POS	New Trier Township KL	POINTS	RANKS
2	Teddy Kontopoulos	27.5	2
1	Randy Rossman	27	4


The better debating was done by the AFF from Carrollton School
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

ultimately, the DA is terminally non-unique because AE ball passing now, and AFF wins some link mitigation, so neg gets a small risk of Discal, maybe, but AFF has 1/L to Heg/Tism that O/W risk ~~AE~~ DRAD TURNS CASE.



ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

Please don't disclose decision.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Caddo Magnet ST	POINTS	RANKS
1	ZhenZhen Shi	27	3
2	Taylor Templeton	27	4

NEGATIVE

POS	St. Mark's MD	POINTS	RANKS
2	Alex Miles	30	1
1	Rishi Das	28	2

The better debating was done by the neg from St Mark's
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

~~Handwritten scribbles~~

ZAC - "you need more than
1 answer to the
CP - make solving
deficits about CTS
not solving warms,
if you use 9 minutes
of prep, then at least
use all 8 minutes of
your speech"

~~Handwritten scribbles~~
[theory]
~~Handwritten scribbles~~

W-dn't read
great power
wer unlikely -
it takes out
electrons in inter

2N - go to
Ling flow -
they don't go for
it - know

RFD:

CP @ ASE +
W/ NB +/ CASE

neg in c-x: ARGUE, not
Ask - go just let
him go on rather on
why his arguments
are worse =

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

Please don't disclose decision.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Marquette University HM	POINTS	RANKS
1	Michael Hoffmann	29	1
2	Jack Mackay	28	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Greenhill PP	POINTS	RANKS
2	Kush Patel	27.5	4
1	Chris Patterson	27.5	3

The better debating was done by the AFF from MARQUETTE
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

AFF'S NON ① ON BOBBLE D/A SUBSTANTIALLY REDUCES
THE RISK OF THE IMPACT. CP ~~7~~ ⑤ DEFICIT IS
ENOUGH OFFENSE TO OUTWEIGHT THE D/A.

EMAIL FOR QUESTIONS:

SMARTS57@WFO.EDU.

Room: LS 197
Start: 1:00 p.m.
Pod D A

3

Song, D. ^N_{6:35}

3:18
3:02
1:30

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

Please don't disclose decision.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Coppell KK	POINTS	RANKS
2	Sonali Kalvala	27.5	4
1	Priyanka Krishnamurthy	27.5	3

NEGATIVE

POS	Westminster Schools TA	POINTS	RANKS
1	Daniel Taylor	28.5	2
2	Ellis Allen	28.5	1

The better debating was done by the NEG from WESTMINSTER
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

INC - BEING THAT CLEAR MAKES YOU DECEPTIVELY FAST. YOU PUT OUT A LOT 2ND THE INC AND DON'T SEEM TO BE STRAINING AT ALL. I WISH I COULD GET MY DEBATERS TO DO MORE SPEED DRILLS! SOME PEOPLE HAVE A "FAST" TONE BUT DON'T GET OUT THAT MUCH - YOU DO WHILE KEEPING A NORMAL TONE. NOT SURE ONE (AND ^{2ND} ~~CO~~ ^{GO} ~~GOOD~~ ^{ARE} NEEDS TO BE A SEPARATE OFF - (ASE - YOU MAKE SIMILAR ARGES ON ASE).

IAR - GOING FOR THEORY WAS PROBABLY NECESSARY + RIGHT CHOICE

RA: 2ND K Accuracy Newer Than IAR, But
2ND K ~~RECEIVED~~ ~~RECEIVED~~ ~~RECEIVED~~
CONCEDES REASON GOOD + ~~2ND~~ NEG
AND ON TIME SHOW INEVITABLE,
ALSO RECIPROCAL IMPOSSIBLE.

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

Please don't disclose decision.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Bronx Science LL	POINTS	RANKS
2A	Max Lesser	27.5	1
1A	Thomas Lloyd	27	2

NEGATIVE

POS	St. Mark's GH	POINTS	RANKS
2W	Max Grunewald	27	3
1W	Kevin Hale	27	4

The better debating was done by the Aff from Bronx Science
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Julia M. Coursey

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

ST MARK	BRONX
8:03	5:42
7:50	2:37

- Plan solves
- No Link explanation that is different from the Plan
- No explanation of the alternative as exclusive of the Plan
- no warrants as to why the government is bad in this instance